

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/776,059	02/02/2001	Jongho Lee	4591-170	9369	
20575	7590 03/31/2004		EXAMINER		
	OHNSON & MCCOLI	WILLE, DOUGLAS A			
1030 SW MORRISON STREET PORTLAND, OR 97205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
10111211172,			2814		
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/21/200	DATE MAILED: 02/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/776,059	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Douglas A Wille	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rej pply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tte, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 26-41 is/are withdrest 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 20-25,48 and 49 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4-11 and 42-46 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,12-19 and 47 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination. The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 2001</u> is/3 Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ one drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been leau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0201	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/776,059

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9 11, 42, 45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Callegari et al.
- 3. With respect to claims 1, 4, and 6, Callegari et al. show multilevel structure (see Figure 12H and column 11, line 23) with an interface layer 55 that can be hafnium silicate and a high k dielectric 56 which can be aluminum oxide.
- 4. With respect to claim 2, the Hf silicate has a higher dielectric constant than silicon nitride.
- 5. With respect to claim 7, Callegari et al. show the use of ALD or CVD for other examples (see example 2) but do not specify the technique to form the device of example 5; however processing limitations carry no weight in claims drawn to a device.
- 6. With respect to claims 9 and 10, the high constant layer is aluminum oxide.
- 7. With respect to claim11, the high constant layer is aluminum oxide. Callegari et al. show the use of ALD or CVD for other examples (see example 2) but do not specify the technique to form the device of example 5; however processing limitations carry no weight in claims drawn to a device.

Application/Control Number: 09/776,059 Page 3

Art Unit: 2814

8. With respect to claim 42, Callegari et al. show multilevel structure (see Figure 12H and column 11, line 23) with an interface layer 55 that can be hafnium silicate and a high k dielectric 56 which can be aluminum oxide and S/D regions are shown.

9. With respect to claims 45 and 46, Callegari et al. show the application to capacitor structures (see example 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 5, 8 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callegari et al.
- 12. With respect to claim 5, Callegari et al. do not specify x for the silicate but since criticality has not been established it would be a matter of routine experimentation to select an appropriate value for a given application.
- 13. With respect to claim 8, since Callegari et al. shows the equivalent thickness (column 9, line 5) it would be obvious to select any value meeting design requirements.
- 14. With respect to claim 44, since it is known in the art to form floating gate devices, it would be obvious to use the Callegari et al. technique to form these devices since the same design requirements exist.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 15. Claims 3, 12 19 and 47 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 16. With respect to claims 3 and 12 Callegari et al. do not show the high dielectric layer as being the same as the silcate layer or show that the high k layer has a greater k than the silcate layer.
- 17. With respect to claims 13 19 Ma et al. show a multilayer stack of aluminum oxide and hafnium oxide but do not show the base silicate layer.
- 18. Claims 20 25, 48 and 49 are allowed.
- 19. Ma et al. show a multilayer stack of aluminum oxide and hafnium oxide but do not show the base silicate layer and therefore do not show that the high k layer has a k greater than the silicate.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas A Wille whose telephone number is (571) 272-1721. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:15-2:45).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/776,059

Art Unit: 2814

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Douglas A. Wille
Primary Examiner

Page 5